



who appeared before the Land Use Committee to express concern about HB 2007. However, the week before, the Board had defeated a motion to strike any mention of duplexes from the letter and just send the rest of it.

At the current meeting, many Board members expressed reservations about the bill for one or more of the following reasons: (1) The lack of adequate public process beyond the hearing room in Salem; (2) Its heavy-handedness in replacing all local planning; and/or (3) Its overbroad application to the entire state.

Consequently, Secretary Eric Norberg proposed a motion that the Board write a letter to Representative Karin Power expressing opposition to HB 2007 in its present form because of its inadequate public input beyond the hearing room in Salem; because of its heavy-handedness in setting aside all local planning; and its overbroad application to the entire state. Kevin Palmer seconded the motion.

More discussion followed. Eric indicated he expected David Schoellhamer and his committee to “clean up” the wording to make it directly germane to the legislators who would receive it, but expressing the Board’s position along the lines stated. More discussion by the Board on these points and their implications.

The question was called, and the vote was tied, 5 yes and 5 no.

The motion did not carry; and no letter will be written by SMILE to Representative Power on the subject of HB 2007.

Tim DuBois moved the meeting be adjourned; Pat Hainley seconded; a brief discussion followed the motion by Miriam Erb, who reminded the Board that it “cannot disregard what is happening” in the neighborhood and in Salem. The question was then called, and the motion passed, 9 yes to 1 no, and the meeting was adjourned at 8:50 p.m.